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#### Abstract

Piper is one of the largest genera of flowering plants. The uniformity of its small flowers and the vast number of species in the genus has hindered the development of a stable infrageneric classification. We sampled 575 accessions corresponding to 332 species of Piper for the ITS region and 181 accessions for the $p s b J-$ petA chloroplast intron to further test previous hypotheses about the major clades within Piper. Phylogenetic analyses were performed for each marker separately and in combination. The ITS region alone resolves eleven major clades within Piper, whereas the psbJ-petA intron fails to recover four of these major groupings and provides no resolution at the base of the phylogeny. The combined analysis provides support for ten monophyletic groups and offers the best hypothesis for relationships in Piper. Our massive ITS dataset allows us to assign confidently a large number of species in this "giant" genus to a major clade. Piper is here divided into ten major clades for which we provide a morphological description. Various clades and subclades are newly identified here: Peltobryon, Schilleria, Isophyllon, P. cinereum/P. sanctum. The clades described here provide a solid framework for future, and more focused, evolutionary studies. New names and combinations proposed herein include Piper bullulatum, P. hooglandii, and P. melchior.
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Piper, with $\sim 2,000$ species (Quijano-Abril et al. 2006), is one of the 20 most species rich genera of flowering plants (Frodin 2004). The monophyly of this large genus has been confirmed by molecular phylogenetic analyses of the Piperales (Jaramillo et al. 2004; Wanke et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008). Monophyly has also been confirmed for other such large plant genera, for example Astragalus (Wojciechowski et al. 1999), Solanum (Weese and Bohs 2007), and Croton (Berry et al. 2005). Because of their large number of species, these "giant" genera present a great challenge, but also a wonderful opportunity to learn about the evolution of morphological characters and geographic distribution. In particular, Piper offers a unique opportunity to study the process of diversification in tropical forests. However, to make such investigations tractable, it is crucial to identify and describe smaller monophyletic assemblages within these large genera.
Piper species are shrubs, herbs, climbers, or treelets common in the understory of tropical forests around the world. Piper species are economically and ecologically important. Piper is the source of black-pepper (Piper nigrum) and various species of the genus are of ethnobotanical interest (Colvard et al. 2006). Plants of the genus Piper are easy to recognize in the field by their nodose shoots and perianthless flowers arranged in condensed terminal spikes. The apparent uniformity of Piper floral morphology and the vast number of species in the genus have hindered the development of a stable infrageneric classification (Table 1). The early classifications of Piperaceae, by Kunth (1839) and Miquel (1843-1844), recognized several distinct genera in what we accept as Piper today. Casimir de Candolle continued the study of Piperaceae and lumped many of the early genera into the large genus Piper, but he preserved some of Miquel's groupings at the level of section or subgenus (de Candolle 1869, 1923). de Candolle's classification would be followed in the Asian floristic treatments of the early 1900s (Ridley 1924; Quisumbing 1930). The next monographer of Piperaceae, William Trelease, would abandon the infrageneric classification of de

Candolle. Trelease recognized a large and unstructured Piper along with several small segregates, some described by Miquel and others by himself. Trelease's generic concepts were followed by Yuncker and were largely accepted in the remainder of the 1900s. Callejas (1986), who conducted the first cladistic analysis of the genus using morphological characters, reconsidered the infrageneric groupings within Piper. Additionally, five sections/subgenera of Neotropical Piper have been revised recently (Bornstein 1989; Callejas 1986; Tebbs 1989, 1990, 1993a).

Molecular phylogenetics have been useful in identifying monophyletic groups within Piper (Jaramillo and Manos 2001; Jaramillo and Callejas 2004a, b; Tepe et al. 2004). These studies, based on exemplar sampling of Piper, confirmed the monophyly of some traditionally recognized infrageneric groups (i.e. Macrostachys Miquel, Ottonia Sprengel, Enckea Kunth, Miquel 1843-1844; de Candolle 1869, 1923), while suggesting the polyphyletic nature of other assemblages (i.e. Steffensia Miquel, Trianaeopiper Trelease). For the current study we increased the dataset in two ways: a) by a six-fold increase in sampling, providing a more thorough coverage of the overall distribution of Piper, and b) by adding sequence data for a second marker, the chloroplast marker $p s b J-p e t A$. This much larger dataset allows us to address the following specific objectives: 1) test the monophyly of the major clades identified in previous studies using a much smaller sampling; 2) determine the relationships of African species. This study provides strong support for ten major clades within Piper, new clades and subclades of Neotropical Piper are recognized, i.e. Peltobryon Klotzsch, Schilleria Kunth, Isophyllon Miquel, $P$. cinereum/P. sanctum. We provide a description of each clade and discuss the discrepancies with previous classifications.

## Materials and Methods

Taxon Sampling-We sampled 575 accessions of 332 species of Piper for this study. This represents a six-fold increase sampling over previous studies of the genus. Taxa included here represent a broad geographical

Table 1. Summary of the taxonomic history of Piper. Genera are italized, subgenera and sections are underlined. Names across correspond to group equivalences among treatments. Horizontal lines correspond to clades examined here.

sampling from the Neotropics and the Paleotropics, including Africa and the South Pacific. We have sampled most relevant taxonomic divisions using the major family treatments as a guide (Table 1). We sampled all generic segregates of Miquel's tribe Pipereae (Miquel 1843-1844), and the recent generic segregates of Trelease (Trelease 1928, 1929, 1930, 1934; Stehlé 1946), as well as de Candolle's subgenera (de Candolle 1923), de Candolle's sections (de Candolle 1869; with exception of Nematanthera) and Callejas' subgenera (Callejas 1986). The genera Manekia (=Sarcorhachis), and Zippelia, were traditionally recognized within the tribe Pipereae (Miquel 1843-1844) or the genus Piper (de Candolle 1923; Callejas 1986). However, molecular phylogenetics confirmed that these are distinct genera in the Piperaceae (Jaramillo et al. 2004; Wanke et al. 2007), thus they have been excluded from this study. We sequenced the ITS region for all accessions and the $p s b J-p e t A$ intron for a representative subsample (181 accessions).

DNA Extraction, PCR, and Sequencing-DNA was extracted from fresh, silica-gel dried, or herbarium specimens using either a modified CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle 1987) or the DNAeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California). The ITS region (including ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2) was amplified by polymerase-chain reaction (PCR) using one of three pairs of primers ITS5-ITS4, LEU1-ITS4 (Baldwin 1992; Baldwin
1993) or ITSA-ITSB (Blattner 1999). The $p s b J-p e t A$ intron was amplified using primers petA-IGSF and psbJ-IGSF (designed by Linda Prince, Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden). PCR products were cleaned using the AccuPrep PCR purification kit (Bioneer Inc., Daejeon, South Korea), the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen Inc.), or the Promega Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Promega Corp., Madison, Wisconsin). Sequencing was performed on AB1 377 or 3730xl automated sequencers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California) or a Li-Cor 4200 LongreadIR (Li-Cor Biosystems, Lincoln, Nebraska). Sequencing was done in several facilities: the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), MACROGEN, Inc. (Seoul, Korea), Boise State University (Boise, Idaho), and the Center for Bioinformatics and Functional Genomics at Miami University (Oxford, Ohio).

Sequences were assembled in Sequencher (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan) or Seqman (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin) and deposited in GenBank (see Appendix 1). The ITS sequences were aligned manually using previous alignments as a reference (Jaramillo 2001). The $p s b J-p e t A$ sequences were aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994) and corrected manually. In the $p s b J-p e t A$ alignment, we identified two randomly inverted stretches in positions 453-467 and 853-875. DNA folding predictions performed on the KineFold Server (http://
kinefold.curie.fr/) confirmed that these inverted regions correspond to DNA loops, explaining their random directions. Moreover, these inverted regions had identical sequences between distantly related species; therefore these regions were excluded prior to phylogenetic analyses. A combined dataset was assembled for all accessions for which both ITS and psbI-petA were available. In the large ITS dataset we also incorporated previously published sequences obtained from GenBank.

Phylogenetic Analysis-Maximum parsimony analyses were carried out using TNT (Tree analysis using New Technology; Goloboff et al. 2004) using the full suite of "New Technology" analysis options available (i.e. sectorial search, ratchet, drift, and tree fusion) with 100 random addition sequences. TNT produces trees until the consensus tree reaches stability (Goloboff and Farris 2001). Bootstrap values for nodes were estimated using TNT from 5000 replicates using the default settings of sectorial search and the ratchet options of the New Technology analysis. Limitations of computer memory precluded implementation of all of the New Technology search options.

The best substitution model, for the likelihood based analyses, was selected using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) as implemented in MrModeltest 1.1b (Nylander 2003). Maximum likelihood analyses were carried out using Garli (Genetic Algorithm for Rapid Likelihood Inference; Zwickl 2006), default parameters were used for the Garli searches. Bootstrap values for nodes were estimated using Garli from 100 replicates using the default settings. Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MrBayes v.3.1.2p (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). Both data sets were analyzed separately, and subsequently a combined analysis was conducted. For each dataset analyzed, we performed two runs of four chains of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo, sampling one tree every 1,000 generations, for 10 million generations. We discarded the results of the first 5 million generations. We pulled together the results from two independent runs, and a total of 10,002 trees were used to obtain $80 \%$ consensus topologies for each analysis. Trees are available at TreeBASE (study number S2188). Prior to combining ITS and cpDNA sequences an ILD test (Farris et al. 1994) was run, implemented as the partition homogeneity test (PHT) of PAUP*. As this test is often sensitive to low signal in some of the partitions (Reeves et al. 2001; Yoder et al. 2001), separate maximum parsimony bootstrap analyses were run for each of the partitions (cpDNA and ITS) to search for areas of hard incongruence (Seelanen et al. 1997).

## Results

ITS Data-The alignment of ITS sequences included 575 terminals and 844 aligned nucleotide sites, 556 sites were parsimoniously informative. ITS sequence divergence among Piper species ranged between 2.3-15.2\%. The HKY + G model of DNA substitution was selected for the Bayesian and maximum likelihood analyses. This substitution model assumes a time-reversible process, a nonuniform distribution of nucleotides and different rates for transitions and transversions (HKY) and a discrete gamma approximation of the rate variation among sites (G). Maximum parsimony analysis recovered five most parsimonious trees. The topologies obtained using the three different methods were very similar (Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. 1A-F). The analyses recovered three main lineages of distinct geographic distributions: Neotropics, Asian Tropics and the South Pacific. These main lineages comprise eleven major clades, the Neotropical lineage comprises nine of these major clades, the other two clades, Piper s.s. and Macropiper are each distributed in distinct geographical regions, Asian Tropics and the South Pacific Islands, respectively.
psbJ-petA Data-The alignment of the chloroplast psbJpet $A$ intron included 181 terminals and 930 nucleotide sites, of which 260 were parsimoniously informative. Sequence divergence for $p s b J-p e t A$ ranged from $0.6-13.7 \%$. The GTR $+\mathrm{I}+$ G model of nucleotide substitution was selected for the Bayesian analysis, which assumes general time reversibility (GTR), a proportion of invariable sites (I), and a discrete gamma approximation of the rate variation among sites $(\mathrm{G})$. This analysis recovered seven major clades of Piper and a


FIG. 1. Schematic diagram summarizing results of the ITS large dataset analysis. Numbers above branches are Bayesian posterior probabilities (B-PP), bootstrap values ( $>50 \%$ are shown) for maximum likelihood (BS-ML) and maximum parsimony (BS-MP) analyses (B-PP/BS-ML/BSMP). Boxes are proportional to sampling for each clade; numbers within each box are accessions/species. Details for each portion of this phylogeny are found in Supplementary Fig. 1A -F.
clear differentiation between New World and Old World taxa (not shown). Nevertheless, the $p s b J-p e t A$ intron did not provide resolution at the base of the tree and failed to recover all of the major clades identified in the combined analysis (see below).

Combined Analyses-The PHT indicated significant differences between the two partitions ( $p=0.01$ ). However, this is likely due to suboptimal trees being found in some of the data partitions where low signal did not result in strongly supported topologies. When examined separately, each partition did not exhibit any area of incongruence that had BS $>$ 75. In fact, only two clades with $\mathrm{BS}>50$ showed any incongruence between trees and these were all between $\mathrm{BS}=54$ and 60 . Since hard incongruence was not detected (Seelanen et al. 1997), we combined data.

The alignment for the combined dataset included 181 terminals and 1,715 nucleotide sites, 717 sites were parsimoniously informative. For this dataset the model of nucleotide substitution selected was HKY+G. Maximum parsimony analysis recovered eight most parsimonious trees. The topologies obtained using the three different methods were very similar. The Bayesian combined analysis provides strong support for the three main lineages: Neotropical,

Asian Tropics, and South Pacific (Fig. 2). These main lineages comprise ten major clades. The Neotropical lineage can be subdivided into eight clades.

## Discussion

The results presented here provide strong support for three geographically distinct clades: Neotropical, Tropical

Asian, and South Pacific (Figs. 1, 2). Species from Africa are nested within the Piper s.s clade (i.e. P. guineense, P. borbonense) and the Macropiper clade (i.e. P. capense). The major clades identified here largely correspond to traditional groupings described by Miquel (1843-1844) and recognized in earlier phylogenetic analyses (Jaramillo 2001; Jaramillo and Callejas 2004a, b). We use traditional names, when avail-


Fig. 2a. Eighty percent majority rule consensus of the Bayesian analysis of the concatenated data set of ITS and $p s b J$-pet $A$ intron. Numbers above branches are Bayesian posterior probabilities (B-PP), bootstrap values ( $>50 \%$ are shown) for maximum likelihood (BS-ML) and maximum parsimony (BS-MP) analyses (B-PP/BS-ML/BS-MP). Clade and subclade names correspond to those described in the Discussion. Members of Arctottonia are indicated with an asterisk. African species are indicated with an arrow.
able, for the clades obtained in the combined analysis. The current data support several of the clades and subclades identified previously (Jaramillo 2001), and provide evidence for recognition of new monophyletic groups that were pre-
viously unresolved (i.e. Peltobryon, Schilleria, Isophyllon, P. cinereum/P. sanctum).

Neotropical Piper-In the Neotropics, we can distinguish eight clades that correspond to the classification of Miquel


Fig. 2b. (Continued)
(1843-1844) and de Candolle (1869, 1923). Species of Piper from the Neotropics are mostly shrubs to small trees, but also climbers and small herbs; the flowers are always bisexual.

Macrostachys Miquel-The Macrostachys clade, is a species rich lineage of Neotropical Piper with $\sim 200-250$ species. It is distributed throughout the Neotropics, but most species occur in Central America and the Northern Andes, a few species in the Amazon and a handful in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. Species of this clade are medium-sized shrubs to small trees. Leaves are pinnately nerved and basally lobulate. The leaf base is symmetric on the monopodial trunk and asymmetric on sympodial branches, and the petioles exhibit a prominent stipular margin which often reaches into the base of the lamina. Inflorescences are compact with fourstaminate flowers usually forming banding patterns. Inflorescences are often very long and pendulous. Fruits are free, and typically rectangular. This lineage is divided in the Macrostachys s.s. and the Hemipodium subclades (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 1B, C). Hemipodium includes nearly 20 species, for the most part sun loving plants, much smaller in heigh than those in Macrostachys, with erect inflorescences and three staminate flowers, forming banding patterns, and the fruits are free and rectangular. Species of Hemipodium have strongly asymmetric leaf bases and petiolar margins extending to the base of the lamina at all nodes. Hemipodium includes several species of restricted distribution, i.e. also Piper holtonii C.DC., P. savanense C.DC, P. corozolanum Trelease, Piper obumbratifolium Trel, P. obumbratum (Miq.) C.DC., P. olens Trel. Large number os species have been lumped under P. arboreum, a species otherwise restricted to Eastern Amazonia.

Some members of Macrostachys exhibit a strong mutualism with ants of the genus Pheidole (Tepe et al. 2004, 2007a, b). Macrostachys and Hemipodium were first described as sections of Miquel's genus Artanthe (Miquel 1843-1844), but later lumped into de Candolle's section (de Candolle 1869) and subgenus (de Candolle 1923) Steffensia, and considered as part of the large genus Piper by Trelease and Yuncker. Miquel's classification was scrutinized again by Callejas, who acknowledged distinct groupings (subgenera) within Piper. Burger was the first to suggest a close relationship between Macrostachys and Hemipodium (Burger, 1971). Following Burger, Tebbs revised section Macrostachys including P. arboreum and $P$. tuberculatum, the core members of Hemipodium (Tebbs 1989). Similarly, Tepe and collaborators provided molecular evidence for the inclusion of $P$. arboreum as part of Macrostachys (Tepe et al. 2004). Data presented here suggest that members of Hemipodium form a sister clade to Macrostachys s.s., supporting Burger's hypothesis regarding the close relationships of these lineages.

Radula Miquel-The Radula clade, perhaps the most species rich lineage of Neotropical Piper with $\sim 450$ species, is broadly distributed in the Neotropics. Many species of this clade occur in open sites and thus are common along roads and forest edges, but some occur in the understory. Species of Radula are medium-size shrubs, mostly self-supporting, but some species are herbaceous or lianescent shrubs. Leaves are membranaceous, commonly plinerved but can also be pinnately nerved, basally unequal, with different levels of pubescence, and rarely glabrous. Flowers are tightly arranged in the inflorescences, forming banding patterns. Inflorescences can be erect, and in some species distally curved at anthesis curved as in P. aduncum L. Radula was first described
as a section of Miquel's genus Artanthe (Miquel 1843-1844). Most subsequent classifications of Piper did not recognize this group (de Candolle 1869,1923; Trelease and Yuncker 1950); neither did Callejas (1986) who included Radula within a much larger circumscribed Steffensia. Conversely, Tebbs recognized Radula as a distinct section of Piper (Tebbs 1989), providing a revision for the section (Tebbs 1993a, b). Molecular sequence data presented here provide strong support for the monophyly of Radula. In light of our findings, however, Tebbs' revision of Radula is misleading in several respects. Tebbs included, within Radula, several species that belong to other clades, i.e. P. marginatum, P. cinereum, P. holdridgeanum, P. pseudolindenii, and P. sanctum. Furthermore, Tebbs lumped into synonymy several distinct species that need to be reevaluated using a larger sampling.
A number of subclades can be distinguished within Radula, but further in-depth analyses are needed before we can describe these species complexes properly. Nonetheless, we would like to remark on two subclades: Isophyllon and the Piper filistilum complex (Supplementary Fig. 1A, B).
Species of the Isophyllon Miquel subclade are mediumsized shrubs, self-supporting or sarmentose. Leaves are oblong or lanceolate, more or less coriaceous, pinnately nerved throughout, and basally obtuse or acute. Flowers are tightly arranged in the inflorescences, forming banding patterns. Inflorescences are erect and apiculate. Fruits are concrescent with the rachis. The Isophyllon clade has $\sim 120$ species and it is most diverse in the Atlantic Forest and also in Central America. Molecular sequence data provide support for the monophyly of this group (1.00 BPP/91 BS-ML/50 BS-MP, Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 1B). The combined data analysis provides support for the inclusion of Isophyllon either within Radula (Fig. 2) or, alternatively, as its sister taxon. This second finding is supported in the large analysis of ITS sequences (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Isophyllon was first described as a section of Miquel's genus Artanthe (Miquel 1843-1844). Most subsequent classifications of Piper did not distinguish this group (de Candolle 1869,1923; Trelease and Yuncker 1950; Tebbs 1989). Callejas recognized Isophyllon at the subgenus level, however his cladistic analysis of morphological data did not provide evidence for suggesting which would be the closest relative to Isophyllon. Molecular sequence data presented here confirms Isophyllon as a distinct clade, as recognized by Miquel and Callejas. Furthermore, our date suggest that Isophyllon is part of Radula.
Species of the Piper filistilum complex were previously included in the genus Trianaeopiper, one of Trelease's segregates that was distinguished by its "axillary inflorescences" (Trelease 1928). Members of the Piper filistilum complex share the leaf morphological characteristics of other Radula species, but their architecture is largely modified with reduced axillary, sympodial branches that appear to be axillary inflorescences, and inflorescences that do not exhibit the typical banded pattern of Radula (Jaramillo and Callejas 2004b). Molecular sequence data provide strong support for the close relationship of this species complex with the Radula clade, shedding light on the evolution of an interesting group whose species were hypothesized by de Candolle to be related to his subgenera Carpunya, Steffensia, and Nematanthera (de Candolle 1923). The Piper filistilum complex is restricted to the Chocó Region in northwestern South America.

Peltobryon Klotzsch-The Peltobryon clade with ~80-100 species, is widespread in South America. Most species are
restricted to the western Amazon and the eastern Andean slopes in Bolivia, Ecuador, and Perú. A significant portion of this clade occurs in the lowland forests of Costa Rica and Panamá. Few species are known north of Guatemala and apparently none is known from the Caribbean Islands. Species of this clade are shrubs or treelets that thrive in the forest understory. They occur from sea level to 2000 m in elevation. Leaves are pinnately nerved with secondary veins arising throughout the entire lamina, which is marginally ciliate, and often strongly glandular dotted. Leaves can be glabrous or pubescent and then with branched hairs. Inflorescences are for most species erect, thick, and greenish in fruit, the anthers often exhibit prominent connectives protruding above the thecae and are glandular dotted. Floral bracts are marginally fimbriated with a central portion strongly glandular dotted. A banded pattern is apparent in some species. Fruits are oblong, exerted, sessile, fleshy and glandularly dotted, seeds are obovoid and apically depressed. Many species display large stylar projections and prominente stigmatic lobes. Peltobryon was first described as a genus by Miquel (1843-1844). However, most subsequent classifications of Piper did not acknowledge this group (de Candolle 1869,1923; Trelease and Yuncker 1950; Tebbs 1989). Similarly, previous molecular phylogenetic analyses using an exemplar sampling were not able to recover this lineage (Jaramillo and Manos 2001; Jaramillo and Callejas 2004a, b). On the contrary, Callejas recognized Peltobryon as distinct group at the subgenus level based on morphological evidence (Callejas 1986). Molecular sequence data and the much larger sampling used in this study provide evidence for the monophyly and distinctiveness of Peltobryon.
The Peltobryon clade includes the Piper confertinodum complex and the Piper trianae complex (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 1D). These two species complexes were included within Trealease's genus Trianaeopiper based on the axillary position of the inflorescences (Jaramillo and Callejas 2004a). However, they have all of the characteristics of the Peltobryon clade, but with a modified architecture with short axillary branches terminating in inflorescences. Species of the Piper confertinodum complex are short shrubs with obovate leaves that are pinnately nerved throughout and basally acute. The flowers are loosely arranged on the inflorescences. Species of the Piper trianae complex are short shrubs, with ovate leaves that are plinerved and basally cordate to acute. The flowers are loosely arranged in the inflorescences. Species of these two complexes are restricted to the Chocó Region in northwestern South America and southern Central America.
Pothomorphe C. DC-The Pothomorphe clade comprises $\sim 10$ species, and is distributed throughout the Neotropics. Species in this clade are herbs or shrubs, and the latter can be either self-supporting or climbers. Leaves are palmately or pinnately (only P. auritum) nerved, basally obtuse or cordate (peltate in P. peltatum), and have sheathing petioles. Inflorescences are dense with the flowers forming banding patterns. In $P$. umbellatum and $P$. peltatum, the inflorescences are congested in leafless axillary branches appearing as umbels. Fruits are free and obovoid. Within this lineage we can recognize two well-supported subclades. The first comprises Pothomorphe s.s., which includes the more traditional Pothomorphe species (in which the inflorescences appear umbellate) plus P. auritum. The second subclade comprises the Piper marginatum complex (Callejas 1986). Species of this subclade are shrubs, erect or sarmentose, with leaves that are pal-
mately nerved and basally obtuse or cordate. Flowers are tightly arranged in the inflorescences forming banding patterns. The fruits are free and obovoid. Pothomorphe, in its traditional circumscription, has long been considered a distinct genus of the Piperaceae and at least two other names are attributed to the group at the genus level Lepianthes Raf. and Heckeria Kunth. Molecular sequence data presented here and in previous studies (Jaramillo and Manos 2001; Jaramillo and Callejas 2004a, b) provide strong evidence for the inclusion of Pothomorphe within the broader Piper. We use the name Pothomorphe as it was the first name used at the infrageneric level (de Candolle 1869). Additionally, our data suggests that Pothomorphe s.s. clade is sister to $P$. marginatum-complex. The P. marginatum complex was first described by Callejas (1986), although it had not been recognized in any other classification of Piper (Miquel 1843-1844; de Candolle 1869,1923; Trelease and Yuncker 1950; Tebbs 1989). Molecular sequence data presented here and in previous studies, suggest that $P$. marginatum complex is a distinct clade within Piper, sister to the Pothomorphe s.s. clade.

Enckea Kunth-The Enckea clade has ~120 species, that occur from the humid forests of the Amazon, to the much drier forest west of the Sierra Madre Occidental in México, and the calcareous outcrops of the Greater Antilles. Species of Enckea are shrubs or small trees with very characteristic palmately nerved leaves. The leaves are mostly ovate in shape, with acute to cordate bases, and sometimes possess two callosities at the base. Leaves can be symmetrical at all nodes (i.e. P. tenuipes C.DC) or asymmetric in sympodial branches and symmetric in monopodial axis (i.e. P. reticulatum). Enckea is distinctive due to its lax inflorescences with pedicellate or sessile flowers. Inflorescences are mostly erect, but sometimes pendulous. The rachis is often glabrous or else papillate puberulent or sometimes pubescent. Floral bracts are conchaeform to cuccullate or even at fruit stages appearing spatulate, mostly glabrous and if pubescent the hairs are restricted to the margins or the abaxial side. Flowers have 4-6 stamens, and 3-4 lobed stigmas. Stylar projectiosn are lacking, but a stylopodium is apparent in some taxa. Fruits are free and globose.

Our data provide evidence for the inclusion of two generic segregates within the Enckea clade: Callianira Miquel and Arctottonia Trelease. The monotypic Callianira was segregated because of its unique combination of characters: palmately nerved leaves and peltate floral bracts. de Candolle included P. melastomoides, the sole species of Callianira, within section Enckea (de Candolle 1869) or later, in subgenus Steffensia (de Candolle 1923), and Callejas had included this taxon with the P. marginatum complex (Callejas 1986). This study provides evidence for the inclusion of P. melastomoides within Enckea (Supplementary Fig. 1E). The name Arctottonia has been used for the Piper species with pedicellate flowers (otherwise recognized as Ottonia) with palmately nerved leaves and a North American distribution (Trelease 1930). In the original description, Trelease observed that this group was probably an offshoot of Enckea. Bornstein (1989) had also highlighted the close relationship of Arctottonia with Enckea in his revision of Arctottonia, as did Callejas (1986) in his cladistic analysis of Piper using morphology. Our results do not support the monophyly of the pedicellate Enckea species (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 1E), thus we propose to eliminate the use of Arctottonia as it does not form an exclusive monophyletic group.

Ottonia Spreng-The Ottonia clade has $\sim 50$ species and is distributed primarily in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil and the Amazon, but has one species, P. darienense, that occurs west of the Andes. Species of Ottonia are small shrubs that thrive in the understory of lowland tropical forests. Leaves are pinnately nerved, ovate or lanceolate in shape, and with two basal callosities. Ottonia species have lax inflorescences and a flower structure reminiscent of the members of Enckea with pedicellate or sessile flowers, a characteristic they share with members of the Enckea clade. Inflorescences are mostly erect, but sometimes pendulous. Fruits are globose to ellipsoid. In the taxonomic history of Ottonia, this name has been applied to those species in Piper with pedicellate flowers (subgenus Ottonia, sensu de Candolle 1923), including species with both pinnately and palmately veined leaves. Trelease's concept of Ottonia included only species with pedicellate flowers and pinnately nerved leaves (Trelease 1935). Using a representative sampling of species, we provide further phylogenetic evidence for the inclusion of species with pedicellate and sessile flowers in the Ottonia clade. Confirming the proposal of Callejas (1986), and suggested by our previous molecular phylogenetic analysis with a much smaller sampling (Jaramillo 2001).
P. Cinereum/P. Sanctum-The relationships of this clade to the other groups of Neotropical Piper remains enigmatic. Piper cinereum was hypothesized to belong to the "subgenus" Radula (Tebbs 1993b) or to the P. marginatum complex (Callejas 1986). Morphologically, P. cinereum is very similar to members of the $P$. marginatum complex as it shares the palmately veined leaves, sheathing petioles, and flowers tightly congested on the rachis and forming banding patterns. Piper sanctum, on the other hand, is morphologically similar to members of the Enckea clade (Callejas pers. obs.), sharing with this clade the palmately nerved leaves with two callosities in the leaf base. Furthermore, the leaf morphology of these two species is very different, even if the venation is palmate in both cases. Thus, the sister-group relationship of these two species is somewhat surprising. To understand better the relationships of these two taxa, we must study their morphology, anatomy and embryology in greater detail.

Schilleria Kunth—The Schilleria clade includes ~200 species found in Central America and in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil, where they are more diverse. Species in this clade are shrubs, and sometimes sarmentose. Leaves are plinerved or pinnately nerved throughout. The leaf bases are acute and may be decurrent with the petiole, and each leaf has a pair of callosities at the base. Inflorescences are lax, with flowers loosely arranged. Fruits are free and trigonous or obovoid. This lineage includes Piper piluliferum, a species with globose inflorescences that was once segregated as the genus Sphaerostachys Miq. Schilleria was first described as a genus by Kunth (1839), subsequent classifications did not recognize this group. Miquel lumped Schilleria into Artanthe (Miquel 1843-1844), and later treatments did not recognize Schilleria as a group. Callejas was the first to reconsider Schilleria at the subgenus level (Callejas 1986). Previous molecular phylogenetic analyses using an exemplar sampling were unable to recover a monophyletic Schilleria, and we had provisionally used the name Schilleria for a much larger circumscribed group (Jaramillo and Manos 2001, Jaramillo and Callejas 2004b). Molecular sequence data and a much larger sampling presented here provide evidence for the distinctiveness and monophyly of this clade.

Tropical Asian Piper-The phylogeny presented here includes a much larger sampling from both continental (Vietnam and China) and insular (Philippines and Indonesia) tropical Asia than previous phylogenetic studies of Piper. In tropical Asia there are $\sim 300$ species of Piper distributed throughout southeast Asia, extending from the north to southern China, westward to the Indian Subcontinent, and eastward to New Guinea and Australia. Piper s.s. is the only major clade occurring in Tropical Asia. Early monographers divided Piper s.s. into several genera (Miquel 1843-1844), sections, or subgenera (de Candolle 1869, 1923). The characters used for these divisions included the presence of pedicellate fruits (i.e. Cubeba), floral bracts pedicellate (i.e. Chavica) vs. sessile (i.e. Piper $=$ Eupiper). These characters are homoplasious and have evolved in parallel several times (Jaramillo and Manos 2001; Asmarayani and Pancoro 2005). Subdivisions within Piper s.s. require more in-depth study to identify diagnostic synapomorphies that characterize the subclades.
Species in the Piper s. s. clade are herbs, shrubs or climbers. Leaves are palmately or pinnately nerved. Climbers exhibit strong leaf dimorphism between the monopodial, orthotropic shoots and the sympodial, plagiotropic branches. Leaves on monopodial shoots are cordate or ovate, basally cordate or round, and possess sheathing petioles, whereas leaves on fertile, sympodial branches are ovate, lanceolate or elliptic, and have nonsheathing petioles. The inflorescences are lax, erect or pendulous. Flowers can be bisexual or, if unisexual, then the plants are dioecious. Male inflorescences are filiform or cylindrical. Female inflorescences are filiform, cylindrical or globose. Fruits are free or sunk into the rachis and are often brightly colored. Floral bracts are particularly diverse in the Piper s. s. clade, including pedicellate, sessile and cupullate bracts. Cupullate bracts are a diagnostic character for the group known as Muldera (= Schizonephos). The two species of Muldera included here, $P$. recurvum and $P$. baccatum, are nested within the Piper s. s. clade. However, a larger sampling of species with cupullate floral bracts is necessary to evaluate how many times this character has evolved.

South Pacific Piper-Our new phylogeny includes a larger sampling of species from the South Pacific Islands. Most species of Piper occurring in the South Pacific belong to the Macropiper Miq. clade, plus a few members of the Piper s.s. clade that are also distributed in this region. The Macropiper clade includes $\sim 10$ species restricted to the South Pacific (Smith 1975), but with one notable exception, $P$. capense from Africa. Species of Macropiper are shrubs or small trees, mostly dioecious (sometimes monoecious). Leaves are mostly ovate, palmately nerved, basally obtuse to deeply cordate, and petioles are sheathing. Inflorescences are often crowded in short axillary branches that appear as umbels, similar to those of the Pothomorphe clade. Flowers are loosely arranged in the inflorescences, and associated with peltate floral bracts. Fruits are generally free, but in $P$. excelsum they are concrescent with the rachis. The Macropiper clade includes P. methysticum, the source of the herbal medicine and mildly narcotic kava-kava (Lebot and Lèvesque 1989). Macropiper was first described as a genus by Miquel (1843-1844). Most subsequent classifications recognized Macropiper at the generic (Smith 1975; Tebbs 1993a, b) or subgeneric (de Candolle 1923; Callejas 1986) level. Molecular sequence data presented here and in previous analyses (Jaramillo and Manos 2001; Jaramillo and Callejas 2004a) suggest that Macropiper is a distinctive clade within
a broader Piper. Piper capense has been traditionally regarded as the core member of Coccobryon, a taxon recognized alternatively at the generic (Miquel 1843-1844), subgeneric (de Candolle 1923; Callejas 1986) or sectional (de Candolle 1869) level. Our results suggest that $P$. capense is part of the Macropiper clade.

Tropical African Piper-There are very few Piper species native to tropical Africa, which is remarkable since other pantropical plant lineages tend to be similarly diverse in these three major tropical regions. The occurrence of Piper in Africa is the result of at least two separate dispersal events (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 1F). Piper capense is a member of the otherwise South Pacific Macropiper clade, and both $P$. borbonense and $P$. guineense are members of the Piper s. s. clade, which is broadly distributed in tropical Asia. These results are in full agreement with those of Smith et al. (2008) based on a low copy nuclear gene and cpDNA regions other than the $p s b J-p e t A$ marker used here. Many Piper species occurring in Africa are either native to the Neotropics: P. umbellatum, P. arboreum, or to Asia, P. nigrum.
Molecular sequence data provide evidence for the recognition of ten distinct clades within Piper. The ITS region has sufficient variation to assign species to the major clades to which they belong. We found much less resolution in the phylogenetic reconstructions based on the chloroplast intron $p s b J-p e t A$, similar to the low resolution provided by the matK gene and trnK intron in Piper (Wanke et al. 2007). This result suggests that new nuclear markers must be developed to increase our understanding of the relationships within Piper. This study provides the most comprehensive reconstruction of phylogenetic relationships to date for the large genus Piper. We confirm several clades that have been previously recognized (Jaramillo and Manos 2001; Jaramillo and Callejas 2004a, b; Tepe et al. 2004), in addition to identifying new clades: Peltobryon, Schilleria, Isophyllon, and P. cinereum/P. sanctum. We also determined the relationships of the African species of Piper that have been obscure until now. However, we have been unable to resolve relationships among the major clades of Piper and, to some extent, within each clade. This phylogeny will serve as a framework for future taxonomic and evolutionary studies in Piper. A formal subgeneric classification will be postponed until we have greater resolution at the backbone of the tree.

## Nomenclatural Changes

Piper bullulatum M. A. Jaram., nom. nov. = Piper parvulum M.A. Jaram. \& Callejas, Taxon 53(2): 277. 2004, Non Piper parvulum M. Martens \& Galeotti. Bulletin de l'Academie Royale des Sciences et Belles-lettres de Bruxelles 10(1): 130. 1843. $=$ Trianaeopiper bullatum Cuatrecasas, Revista Acad. Col. Cienc. Exact. 7: 51. 1946. Revista Acad. Col. Cienc. Exact. 7: 51. 1946. - Type: COLOMBIA: Valle: Pacific Coast, Rio Cajambre, Barco, 5-80 m, 26 Apr 1944, J. Cuatrecasas 17167 (Holotype: COL, Isotype: F, US). = Trianaeopiper bullatum var. archeri Trel. \& Yunck., Piperaceae N. South America 1: 425. 1950. - Type: COLOMBIA: Chocó: headwaters of Rio Tutunendo, E. of Quibdo, 2021 May 1931, W. A. Archer 2171 (Holotype: US).
Piper hooglandii (I. Hutton \& P.S. Green) M. A. Jaram., comb. nov. $=$ Macropiper hooglandii I. Hutton \& P.S. Green, Kew Bulletin 48(2): 316. 1993.
Piper melchior (Sykes) M. A. Jaram., comb. nov. = Macropiper melchior Sykes in New Zealand J. Bot 30(3): 231. 1992.
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Appendix 1. Taxon; Genbank accession numbers (ITS, psbJ-pet $A,-=$ sequence not obtained); country where material was collected, voucher (HERB). A list of collector's abbreviations is provided at the end of the appendix.
P. abalienatum Trel.; EU581075, EU581453; México, MAJ 552 (DUKE). P. abbreviatum Opiz; EU581076, EU581454; Philipines, MAJ 203 (DUKE). P. adenandrum C. DC.; EU581077, —; French Guiana, EJT 557 (MU). P. aduпсит L. (1); EU581078, -; New Guinea, GW 2424 (MIN); P. aduncum L. (2); AF275159, -; Brazil, AFO 1253 (DUKE); P. aduncum L. (3); AF275157, —; Colombia, MAJ 76 (DUKE); P. aduncum L. (4); EU581079, —; Brazil, MCS 266 (RB); P. aduncum L. (5); EF060061, —; Indonesia, RA 04 (BO). P. aequale Vahl. (1); EU581080, —; Panamá, ET 1018 (MU); P. aequale Vahl. (2); EU581081, —; Panamá, EL s/n, no voucher; P. aequale Vahl. (3); EU581082, —; Panamá, ET 1027 (MU). P. aereum Trel.; EF056220, -; Costa Rica, IAC 2213 (MU). P. aff anonifolium (Kunth) Steud. (1); EU581083, —; Brazil, MAJ 798 (RB); P. aff anonifolium (Kunth) Steud. (2); EU581084, -; Brazil, AL 1242 (MG); P. aff anonifolium (Kunth) Steud. (3); EU581085, —; Brazil, MJK 757 (MG). P. albispicum C. DC.; AY572317, EU581456; Vietnam, MAJ 388 (DUKE). P. albozonatum C. DC.; AY326195, -; Ecuador, MAJ 697 (DUKE). P. amalago L. (1); EU581086, EU581457; Guatemala, $A B 964$ (SEMO); P. amalago L. (2); EU581087, EU581458; Guatemala, $A B 972$ (SEMO); P. amalago L. (3); EU581088, EU581459; Dominican Republic, LAH 426 (SRP); P. amalago L. (4); EU581089, EU581460; Brazil, MCS 267 (RB); P. amalago L. (5); EU581090, —; Brazil, MAJ 844 (RB); P. amalago L. (6); EU581091, -; México, MA 2924 (HEM); P. amalago L. (7); EU581092, —; Honduras, $A B$ 712 (SEMO); P. amalago L. (8); EU581093, -; Honduras, AB 719 (SEMO); P. amalago L. (9), EU581094, -; Honduras, AB 730 (SEMO); P. amalago L. (10); AF275186, -; México, MAJ 561 (DUKE). P. amoenum Yunck.; AF275160, -; Colombia, MAJ 116 (DUKE). P. amplum (Kunth) Steud. (1); EU581095, EU581461; Brazil, MAJ 785 (RB); P. amplum (Kunth) Steud. (2); EU581096, —; Brazil, MAJ 804 (RB). P. anisum (Sprengel) Angely (1); EU581097, EU581462; Brazil, MAJ 920 (RB); P. anisum (Sprengel) Angely (2); EU581098, EU581463; Brazil, MCS 269 (RB); P. anisum (Sprengel) Angely (3); EU581099,-; Brazil, RC 1637 (HUA). P. anonifolium (Kunth) Steud. (1); EU581100, -; French Guiana, EJT 523 (MU); P. anonifolium (Kunth) Steud. (2); EU581101, -; French Guiana, EJT 527 (MU). P. appendiculatum C. DC.; AY326196, -; Colombia, MAJ 740 (DUKE). P. arboreum Aubl. (1); EF056223, EU581464; Panamá, EJT 1039 (MU); P. arboreum Aubl. (2),; EF056222, EU581465; French Guiana, EJT 620 (MU); P. arboreum Aubl. (3); AF275180, EU581466; Colombia, MAJ 112 (DUKE); $\boldsymbol{P}$. arboreum Aubl. (4); EU581102, —; Panamá, EL s/n, no voucher; P. arboreum Aubl. (5); EF056224, —; Panamá, EJT 1040 (MU); P. arboreum Aubl. (6); EU581103, —; Brazil, MAJ 783 (RB); P. arboreum Aubl. (7); EU581104, -; Brazil, MAJ 863 (RB); P. arboreum Aubl. (8); EU581105, -; Brazil, MCS 268 (RB); P. arboreum Aubl. (9); EU581106, —; Brazil, MaN 1565 (RB); P. arboreum Aubl. (10); EF056221, —; Costa Rica, EJT 377
(MU). P. arboricola C. DC.; AY572319, EU581467; Vietnam, MAJ 467 (DUKE). P. argyrophyllum Miq.; EU581107, —; Sri Lanka, RS s/n. P. arieianum C. DC. (1); AF275163, EU581468; Colombia, MAJ 69 (DUKE); P. arieianum C. DC. (2); EU581108, —; Colombia, MAJ 638 (DUKE). P. artanthe C. DC.; EU581109, —; Colombia, MAJ 83 (DUKE). P. atrospicum C. DC.; AY572318, —; Philipines, MAJ 168 (DUKE). P. attenuatum Buch.Ham. ex Wall.; EU581110, -; China, LJM 061416 (PE). P. augustum Rudge (1); AF275165, EU581469; Colombia, MAJ 122 (DUKE); P. augustum Rudge (2); EU581111, —; Panamá, EJT 993 (MU). P. aulacospermum Callejas; EU581112, —; French Guiana, SM 18087 (HUA). P. auritifolium Trel. (1); EU581113, EU581470; Costa Rica, CD 10877 (SRP); P. auritifolium Trel. (2); EF056225, EU581471; Costa Rica, EJT 94 (MU); P. auritifolium Trel. (3); EU581114, EU581472; Costa Rica, CD 10872 (SRP). P. auritum Kunth (1); AF275175, EU581473; Colombia, MAJ 63 (DUKE); P. auritum Kunth (2); EU581115, —; Costa Rica, CD 10892 (SRP); P. auritum Kunth (3); EU581116, —; Panamá, EJT 987 (MU); P. auritum Kunth (4); EU581117, —; México, AR 2409 (XAL); P. auritum Kunth (5); EU581118, —; México, MAJ 1014 (UMO); P. auritum Kunth (6); EU581119, —; Colombia, MAJ 756 (DUKE). P. austrocaledonicum C. DC. (1); EU581120, EU581474, New Caledonia, SaM 530 (GH); P. austrocaledonicum C. DC. (2); EU581121, —; New Caledonia, GMcP 19190 (MO). P. avellanum C. DC. (1); EU581122, —; French Guiana, EJT 616 (MU); P. avellanum C. DC. (2); EU581123, —; Colombia, MAJ 109 (DUKE). P. baccatum Blume; EU581124, -; Thailand, KW 1594 (GH). P. bartlingianum C. DC. (1); AF275183, EU581475; Guyana, RE $1267 B$ (U); P. bartlingianum C. DC. (2); EU581125, EU581499, Brazil, AL 1157 (MG). P. basilobatum Trel. \& Yunck.; AY326197, —; Colombia, MAJ 596 (DUKE). P. bavinum C. DC.; AF275199, EU581476; Vietnam, MAJ 392 (DUKE). P. begoniicolor Trel. \& Yunck.; EF056226, —; Colombia, TC 69629 (MO). P. bellidifolium Yunck.; EF056227, EU581477; Ecuador, EJT 1430 (MU). P. betle L. (1); AF275201, EU581478; Cultivated, Duke Accesison \# 82-29-8, no voucher; P. betle L. (2); EU581126, —; Cultivated, JFS 5808, (SRP); P. betle L. (3); EF060062, —; Indonesia, RA 09 (BO); P. betle L. (4); EF060063, -; Indonesia, RA 14 (BO); P. betle L. (5); EF060064, -; Indonesia, RA 30 (BO); P. betle L. (6); EF060065, -; Indonesia, RA 36 (BO). P. biolleyi C. DC. (1); EU581127, EU581479; Costa Rica, EJT 429 (MU); P. biolleyi C. DC. (2); EU581128, —; Costa Rica, CD 10896 (SRP). P. bisasperatum Trel.; EU581129, —; Panamá, EJT 1054 (MU). P. biseriatum C. DC. (1); EF056229, EU581480; Costa Rica, EJT 141 (MU); P. biseriatum C. DC. (2); EF056228, —; Costa Rica, EJT 77 (MU); P. biseriatum C. DC. (3); EF056230, —; Costa Rica, EJT 438 (MU). P. blattarum Spreng.; EU581130, —; Puerto Rico, CMT 11645 (MO). P. boehmeriaefolium Wall.; AF275204, -; Vietnam, MAJ 235 (DUKE). P. borbonense C. DC.; EU581131, EU581481; Reunion, CJB 87.3.616, no voucher. P. brachypodon C. DC.; AY326198, EU581482; Colombia, MAJ 757 (DUKE). P. brachypus Trel.; EU581132, —; México, MAJ 550 (DUKE). P. bradei Yunck.; EU581133, EU581483; Brazil, MAJ 903 (RB). P. breviamentum C. DC.; EU581134, EU581484; Philipines, MAJ 221 (DUKE). P. brevicuspe Merr.; AY572321, —; Philipines, MAJ 211 (DUKE). P. brevipedicellatum Bornstein; AF275189, EU581485; México, MAJ 544 (DUKE). P. brownsbergense Yunck. (1); EU581135, —; French Guiana, EJT 619 (MU); P. brownsbergense Yunck. (2); EU581136, —; French Guiana, EJT 530 (MU). P. bullosum C. DC.; EU581137, —; Ecuador, EJT 1405 (MU). P. bullulatum M. A. Jaram.; AF275167, EU581585; Colombia, MAJ 55 (DUKE). P. cabellense C. DC. (1); EF056231, —; Colombia, RaF 2697 (NY); P. cabellense C. DC. (2); AF275178, —; Colombia, MAJ 87 (DUKE). P. cajambrense Trel. \& Yunck. (1); EF056232, —; Ecuador, HL 210 (MO); P. cajambrense Trel. \& Yunck. (2); AY326199, —; Colombia, MAJ 768 (DUKE). P. calcariforme Tebbs (1); EF056234, EU581486;Costa Rica, AE 2397 (CR); P. calcariforme Tebbs (2); EF056233, —; Panama, EJT 1009 (MU); P. calcariforme Tebbs (3); EU581138, —; Panamá, EJT 1001 (MU); P. caldense C. DC. (1); EU581139, —; Brazil, MAJ 797 (RB); P. caldense C. DC. (2); EU581140, —; Brazil, MAJ 805 (RB); P. caldense C. DC. (3); EU581141, -; Brazil, MCS 305 (RB). P. callosum Ruiz \& Pav.; EU581142, EU581487; Brazil, MJK 161 (SPF). P. campanum Yunck. (1); EF056236, EU581488; Panamá, EJT 1048 (MU); P. campanum Yunck. (2); EF056235, —; Panama, EJT 1033 (MU). P. candollei Sodiro; EF056237, EU581489; Ecuador, EJT 1449 (MU). P. caninum Blume (1); AY326195, EU581490; Philipines, MAJ 218 (DUKE); P. caninum Blume (2); EF060066, —; Indonesia, RA 21 (BO); P. caninum Blume (3); EF060067, -; Indonesia, RA 29 (BO); P. caninum Blume (4); EF060068, —; Indonesia, RA 35 (BO). P. capense L.f. (1); EU581143, EU581491; Uganda, CD 11004 (SRP); P. capense L.f. (2); EU581144, EU581492; Kenya, CD 11009 (SRP); P. capense L.f. (3); AY326200, —; Tanzania, RF 96-75 (US). P. caracasanum Bredem. ex Link; EF056238, -; Venezuela, WM 1180 (MO). P. cararense Trel. \& Yunck; (1), AY326201, EU581493; Colombia, MAJ 601 (DUKE); P. cararense Trel. \& Yunck. (2); EU581145, —; Colombia, MAJ 46 (DUKE). P.
carautensei E.F. Guim \& M.Carvalho-Silva; EU581146, EU581494; Brazil, MAJ 933 (RB). P. carrilloanum C. DC.; EU581147, EU581495; Costa Rica, EJT 415 (MU). P. cavendishioides Trel. \& Yunck.; AF275153, EU581496; Colombia, MAJ 70 (DUKE). P. celtidiforme Opiz (1); AF275205, —; Philipines, MAJ 171 (DUKE); P. celtidiforme Opiz (2); EU581148, —; New Guinea, WT 14496 (GH). P. cenocladum C. DC. (1); EF056239, EU581497; Costa Rica, EJT 185 (MU); P. cenocladum C. DC. (2); EF056240, 一; Costa Rica, EJT 393 (MU); P. cenocladum C. DC. (3); EU581149, —; Costa Rica, EJT 90 (MU). P. cernuum Vell. (1); EU581150, EU581498; Brazil, MAJ 907 (RB); P. cernuum Vell. (2); EF056242, —; Brazil, GH 46665 (MU); P. cernuиm Vell. (3); EU581151, —; Brazil, MAJ 814 (RB). P. chandocanum C. DC.; EU581152, EU581500; China, LJM 06182 (PE). P. chimonanthifolium Kunth; EU581153, —; Brazil, MCFS 400 (RB). P. chuarense M. A. Jaram. \& Callejas; AY326202, EU581501; Colombia, MAJ 721 (DUKE). P. cihuatlanense Bornstein; AF275187, —; México, MAJ 543 (DUKE). P. cinereum C. DC. (1); AF275190, EU581502; Colombia, MAJ 66 (DUKE); P. cinereum C. DC. (2); EU581154, EU581503; Ecuador, MAJ 653 (DUKE); P. cinereum C. DC. (3); EU581155, —; Colombia, MAJ 673 (DUKE). P. coccoloboides Kunth; EF056243, —; Brazil, HSI 22879 (US). P. cocornanum Trel. \& Yunck.; AY326203, —; Colombia, RC 12493 (HUA). P. colligatispicum Trel. \& Yunck.; AY326204, —; Colombia, MAJ 780 (DUKE). P. colonense C. DC. (1); EU581156, EU581504; Nicaragaua, $A B 753$ (SEMO). P. colonense C. DC. (2); EU581157, —; Nicaragua, AB 751 (SEMO). P. colonense C. DC. (3); EU581158, —; Panamá, EJT 982 (MU); P. colonense C. DC. (4); EU581159, -; Costa Rica, CD 10894 (SRP). P. concepcionis Trel. (1); EU581160, -; Colombia, MAJ 627 (DUKE); P. concepcionis Trel. (2); EU581161, -; Costa Rica, CD 10880 (SRP). P. confertinodum (Trel. \& Yunck) M. A. Jaram. \& Callejas; AF275166, EU581505; Colombia, MAJ 54 (DUKE). P. confusum C. DC. EU581162, —; Cuba, AHL 14556 (NY). P. consanguineum (Kunth) Steud. (1); EU581163, —; French Guiana, EJT 546 (MU); P. consanguineum (Kunth) Steud. (2); EU581164, —; Brazil, MJK 768 (MG). P. corcovadense C. DC. (1); EU581165, EU581506; Brazil, MAJ 838 (RB); P. corcovadense C. DC. (2); EU581166, -; Brazil, MAJ 843 (RB). P. cordatilimbum Quisumb.; AY572323, —; Philipines, MAJ 178 (DUKE). P. cordulatum C. DC. (1); EF056245, EU581507; Panama, EJT 975 (MU); P. cordulatum C. DC. (2); EU581167, EU581508 Panamá, EL s/n, no voucher; P. cordulatum C. DC. (3); EF056246, —; Panamá, EJT 1011 (MU); P. cordulatum C. DC. (4); EU581168, —; Panamá, EJT 1016 (MU). P. corintoananum Yunck.(cf); EU581169, EU581558; Brazil, MAJ 944 (RB). P. costatum C. DC. (1); EU581170, -; Cultivated, Yale Univ. Plant Collection, no voucher; P. costatum C. DC. (2); EU581171, —; Cultivated, TF 4261 (PTBG). P. crassinervium Kunth; EU581172, EU581509; Bolivia, AM 1183 (MO). P. cubataonum C.DC.; EU581173, —; Brazil, MJK 493 (SPF). P. cubeba L.f.; EF060070, -; Indonesia, RA 02 (BO). P. curtifolium C. DC.; EU581174, EU581510; Philipines, MAJ 194, (DUKE). P. curtirachis W.C.Burger (cf); EU581175, —; Panamá, EJT 995 (MU). P. curtispicum C. DC.; EU581176, —; Panamá, EJT 1028 (MU). P. daguanum C. DC.; EF056247, EU581511; Panamá, EJT 1044 (MU). P. darienense C. DC. (2); EU581177, EU581512; Panamá, EL $s / n$, no voucher; P. darienense C. DC. (1); AF275181, EU581513; Colombia, MAJ 103 (DUKE). P. decumanum L.; AF275203, EU581514; Philipines, MAJ 210 (DUKE). P. densum Blume; AY615963, EU581515; Vietnam, MAJ 508 (DUKE). P. dilatatum Rich. (1); EU581178, —; México, AR 2817 (XAL); P. dilatatum Rich. (2); EU581179, —; Brazil, MAJ 858 (RB). P. dilatatum Rich. (3); EU581180, —; Panamá, EL s/n, no voucher. P. diospyrifolium Kunth; EU581181, —; Brazil, MJK 431 (SPF). P. divaricatum G. Mey. (1); EU581182, EU581516; Ecuador, EJT 1424 (MU); P. divaricatum G. Mey. (2); EU581183, —; Brazil, MJK 743 (MG). P. dolichotrichum Yunck. (1); EU581184, —; Costa Rica, CD 10878 (SRP); P. dolichotrichum Yunck. (2); EU581185, —; Colombia, MAJ 129 (DUKE). P. dryadum C. DC.; EU581186, —; Panamá, EJT 1047 (MU). P. duartei E.F. Guim \& M.Carvalho-Silva; EU581187, EU581517; Brazil, MAJ 928 (RB). P. dumosum Rudge (1); EU581188, —; French Guiana, EJT 532 (MU); P. dumosum Rudge (2); EU581189, -; French Guiana, EJT 560 (MU). P. entradense Trel. \& Yunck.; EU581190, —; Ecuador, EJT 1394 (MU). P. erectipilum Yunck.; EU581191, EU581518; Brazil, MJK 762 (MG). P. eucalyptifolium Rudge; EU581192, —; French Guiana, EJT 526 (MU). P. eucalyptiphyllum C.DC.; EU581193, EU581519; Brazil, MAJ 972 (RB). P. euryphyllum C. DC. (1); EF056250, EU581520; Costa Rica, EJT 410 (MU); P. euryphyllum C. DC. (2); EF056249, —; Costa Rica, EJT 173 (MU). P. eustylum Diels; EU581194, —; Ecuador, EJT 1400 (MU). P. excelsum G. Forst. (1); AF275193, EU581521; New Zealand, ROG 8494 (AK); P. excelsum G. Forst. (2); EF635476, —; New Zealand, no voucher. P. fallens Trel. (cf); EU581195, —; México, NM 573 (HEM). P. filistilum C. DC. (1); AF275155, EU581522; Colombia, MAJ 157 (DUKE); P. filistilum C. DC. (2); EU581196, —; Colombia, MAJ s/n, no voucher; P. filistilum C. DC. (3); EU581197, —; Ecuador, MAJ 680 (DUKE); P. filistilum C. DC. (4);

EU581198, —; Ecuador, MAJ 690 (DUKE); P. filistilum C. DC. (5); EU581199, —; Ecuador, MAJ 698 (DUKE). P. filistilum C. DC. (6); EU581200, —; Ecuador, MAJ 695 (DUKE); P. filistilum C. DC. (7); EU581201, —; Colombia, MAJ 592 (DUKE). P. fimbriulatum C. DC. (1); EF056251, EU581523; Costa Rica, EJT 115 (MU); P. fimbriulatum C. DC. (2); EF056252, —; Costa Rica, EJT 326 (MU); P. fimbriulatum C. DC. (3); EF056253, —; Panamá, EJT 971 (MU); P. fimbriulatum C. DC. (4); EF056254, —; Panamá, EJT 1037 (MU). P. flagellicuspe Trel. \& Yunck.; AF275154, —; Colombia, MAJ 65 (DUKE). P. flavicans C. DC.; EU581202, —; Brazil, HSI 21202 (MO). P. flavidum C. DC.; EU581203, —; México, MA 2826 (HEM). P. flaviflorum C. DC.; EU581204, —; China, LJM 06171 (PE). P. flavoviride C. DC.; EU581205, —; Brazil, MJK 98 (SPF). P. fonteboanum Yunck.; EF056255, —; Colombia, ILA 106885 (US). P. friedrichsthalii C. DC. (1); EU581206, —; Panamá, EJT 1010 (MU); P. friedrichsthalii C. DC. (2); EU581207, —; Costa Rica, EJT 131 (MU); P. friedrichsthalii C. DC. (3); AY326205, -; Colombia, MAJ 584 (DUKE). P. frutescens C. DC.; EU581208, —; Brazil, MAJ 878 (RB). P. fuligineum (Kunth) Steud. (cf); EU581209, -; Brazil, MAJ 979 (RB). P. garagaranum C. DC. (1); AF275162, EU581524; Colombia, MAJ 73 (DUKE); P. garagaranum C. DC. (2); EU581210, EU581525; Costa Rica, CD 10904 (SRP); P. garagaranum C. DC. (3); EU581211, —; Costa Rica, CD 10869 (SRP); P. garagaranum C. DC. (4); EU581212, —; Panamá, EJT 1025 (MU); P. garagaranum C. DC. (5); EU581213, —; Panamá, EJT 1029 (MU). P. gaudichaudianum Kunth; EU581214, —; Brazil, MAJ 895 (RB). P. gibbosum C. DC. (1); EF056256, EU581526; Costa Rica, EJT 170 (MU); P. gibbosum C. DC. (2); EF056257, —; Costa Rica, EJT 411 (MU). P. gigantifolium C. DC. (1); EF056259, -; Brazil, SM 12866 (NY); P. gigantifolium C. DC. (2); EF056258, —; Brazil, JMP 2084 (US). P. gigas Trel.; EF056260, EU581527; Panamá, EJT 1000 (MU). P. glabratum Kunth (1); EU581215, —; Costa Rica, CD 10888 (SRP); P. glabrescens C. DC. (1); EU581216, —; México, RMC 747 (SRP); P. glabrescens C. DC. (2); EU581217, —; Nicaragua, AB 749 (SEMO); P. glabrescens C. DC. (3); EU581218, -; Honduras, $A B 714$ (SEMO). P. glanduligerum C. DC.; EU581219, -; Colombia, MAJ 588 (DUKE). P. goesii Yunck.; EU581220, —; Brazil, MAJ 912 (RB). P. grande Vahl.(1); EU581221, -; Honduras, AB 725 (SEMO); P. grande Vahl.(2); EU581222, —; Nicaragua, $A B 754$ (SEMO); P. grande Vahl.(3); EU581223, —; Panamá, EL s/n, no voucher; P. grande Vahl.(4); EU581224, —; Panamá, EJT 1013 (MU). P. griffithii C. DC.; EU581225, -; Vietnam, MAJ 511 (DUKE). P. guahamense C. DC.; EU581226, EU581529; Cultivated, TF 6748 (PTBG). P. guayranum C. DC.; EU581227, -; Colombia, MAJ 78 (DUKE). P. guazacapanense Trel. \& Standl.; EU581228, EU581530; México, MA 2923 (HEM). P. guineense Schumach. \& Thonn. (1); EU581229, EU581532; Uganda, CD 11006 (SRP); P. guineense Schumach. \& Thonn. (2); EU581230, EU581533; Cameroon, JFS 4924 (SCA); P. guineense Schumach. \& Thonn. (3); EU581231, EU581534; Cameroon, JFS 4923 (SCA). P. gymnostachyum C. DC.; AY572325, EU581535; Vietnam, MAJ 389 (DUKE). P. hancei Maxim.; EU581232, EU581536; China, LJM 06211 (PE). P. hartwegianum (Bentham) C. DC.; AY326207, —; Colombia, MAJ 781 (DUKE). P. haughtii Trel. \& Yunck.; EU581233, -; Colombia, MAJ 98 (DUKE). P. hebetifolium W. C. Burger (1); EF056261, EU581537; Costa Rica, EJT 448 (MU); P. hebetifolium W. C. Burger (2); EF056262, —; Costa Rica, EJT 454 (MU). P. hernandiaefolium Vahl; AY572324, —; Vietnam, MAJ 475 (DUKE). P. hirtellipetiolum C. DC.; EU581234, —; Panamá, EJT 983 (MU). P. hispidum Sw. (1); AF275156, EU581538; Colombia, MAJ 53 (DUKE); P. hispidum Sw. (2); EU581235, —; French Guiana, EJT 529 (MU); P. hispidum Sw. (3); EU581236, —; French Guiana, EJT 612 (MU); P. hispidum Sw. (4); EU581237, -; Honduras, AB 701 (SEMO); P. hispidum Sw. (5); EU581238, -; Costa Rica, CD 10891 (SRP); P. hispidum Sw. (6); EU581239, -; Panamá, EJT 1021 (MU); P. hispidum Sw. (7); EU581240, —; México, RMC 745 (HEM); P. hispidum Sw. (8); EU581241, —; Brazil, MCS 304 (RB); P. hispidum Sw. (9); EU581242, —; México, RMC 746 (SRP); P. hispidum Sw. (10); EU581243, —; Panamá, EJT 978 (MU); P. hispidum Sw. (11); EU581244, —; Brazil, MAJ 847 (RB); P. hispidum Sw. (12); EU581245, —; Brazil, MAJ 869 (RB). P. hoffmannseggianum Schult.; EU581246, EU581539; Brazil, MAJ 891 (RB). P. holdridgeianum W. C. Burger; EU581247, —; Costa Rica, CD 10865 (SRP); P. holdridgeianum W. C. Burger; EU581248, -; Costa Rica, JF 9128 (MO). P. hooglandii (I.Hutton \& P.S.Green) M. A. Jaram.; AF275192, EU581540; New Zealand, ROG 8496 (AK). P. hostmannianum C. DC. (1); EU581249, -; French Guiana, EJT 573 (MU); P. hostmannianum C. DC. (2); EU581250, -; French Guiana, EJT 599 (MU); P. hostmannianum C. DC. (3); EU581251, —; French Guiana, SM 25228 (NY). P. humistratum Görts \& Kramer (1); EU581252, —; French Guiana, EJT 542 (MU); P. humistratum Görts \& Kramer (2); EU581253, EU581541; French Guiana, SM 25227 (NY). P. hymenophyllum (Miq.) Wight; AY572327, EU581542; Vietnam, MAJ 505 (DUKE). P. ilheusense Yunck.; EU581254, —; Brazil, MAJ 786 (RB). P. imberbe Trel. \&

Standl.; EU581255, EU581543; Honduras, AB 983 (SEMO). P. immutatum Trel.; EU581256, EU581544; Ecuador, EJT 1590 (MU). P. imperiale C. DC. (1); EF056263, —; Costa Rica, EJT 402 (MU); P. imperiale C. DC. (2); EF056264, —; Costa Rica, EJT 473 (MU); P. imperiale C. DC. (3); EF056265, -; Costa Rica, EJT 989 (MU); P. imperiale C. DC. (4); AF275176, -; Colombia, MAJ 61 (DUKE). P. inaequale C. DC. (1); EU581257, —; Colombia, MAJ 127 (DUKE); P. inaequale C. DC. (2); EU581258, —; Colombia, MAJ 134 (DUKE); P. inaequale C. DC. (3); EU581259, 一; Colombia, MAJ 118 (DUKE). P. insipiens Trel. \& Yunck. (1); EU581260, —; French Guiana, EJT 536; (MU); P. insipiens Trel. \& Yunck. (2); EU581261, -; French Guiana, EJT 545 (MU); P. insipiens Trel. \& Yunck. (3); EU581262, -; French Guiana, EJT 533 (MU); P. insipiens Trel. \& Yunck. (4); EU581263, -; French Guiana, EJT 535 (MU). P. jacquemontianum Kunth; EU581264, EU581545; Honduras, AB 700 (SEMO). P. juliflorum Nees \& Mart.; EU581265, —; Brazil, MJK 257 (SPF). P. klotzschianum C. DC.; EU581266, —; Brazil, MAJ 976 (RB). P. lacunosum Kunth (1); EU581267, —; Costa Rica, EJT 443 (MU); P. lacunosum Kunth (2); EU581268, —; Panamá, EJT 1057 (MU). P. laevigatum Kunth (1); EU581269, —; Peru, JS 7167, (UMO); P. laevigatum Kunth (2); EU581270, —; Peru, JZ 626 (HUA); P. laevigatum Kunth (3); EU581271, —; Bolivia, AM 1463 (MO). P. lanceifolium Kunth (1); EU581272, EU581547; Colombia, EJT 184 (MU); P. lanceifolium Kunth (2); EU581273, —; Costa Rica, EJT 1051 (MU). P. laosanum C. DC.; AY572326, EU581548; Vietnam, MAJ 468 (DUKE). P. lapathifolium Steud.; EU581274, EU581549; México, AR 2296 (XAL). P. latifolium G. Forst.; EF635465, —; Cook Islands, no voucher; P. leptostachyum Wall. ex Miq.; EU581275, EU581550; Vietnam, MAJ 510 (DUKE). P. lepturum Kunth (1); EU581276, —; Brazil, MAJ 909 (RB); P. lepturum Kunth (2); EU581277, —; Brazil, MAJ 967 (RB). P. littorale C. DC.; EU581278, —; Colombia, MAJ 130 (DUKE). P. lolot C. DC., AY326208, EU581553; Vietnam, MAJ 234 (DUKE). P. longepilosum C. DC.; EF056266, EU581555; Ecuador, EJT 1387 (MU). P. longestylosum C. DC. (1); EU581279, EU581554; Bolivia, AM 1228 (MO). P. longestylosum C. DC. (2); EU581280, -; Bolivia, AM 1172 (MO). P. longicaudatum Trel. \& Yunck. (cf); EU581281, —; Ecuador, EJT 1578 (MU). P. longispicum C. DC. (1); AY326209, EU581556; Colombia, MAJ 739 (DUKE); P. longispicum C. DC. (2); EF056267, —; French Guiana, EJT 410 (MU). P. longivaginans C. DC.; EU581282, EU581557; Philippines, MAJ 207 (DUKE). P. longivillosum Trel. \& Yunck. (1); AY326221,-; Colombia, MAJ 605, (DUKE); P. longivillosum Trel. \& Yunck. (2); EU581283, —; Colombia, MAJ 64 (DUKE). P. lunulibracteatum C. DC.; EU581284, —; Ecuador, VZ 2854 (MO). P. macropiper Pennant (1); AF275202, —; Philippines, MAJ 192 (DUKE); P. macropiper Pennant (2); EF060073, -; Indonesia, RA 10 (BO); P. macropiper Pennant (3); EF060074, —; Indonesia, RA 22 (BO). P. macrotrichum C. DC.; EU581285, —; Ecuador, EJT 1437 (MU). P. majusculum Blume; EU581286, EU581559; Philippines, MAJ 202 (DUKE). P. maranyonense Trel.; EU581287, -; Colombia, MAJ 58 (DUKE). P. marequitense C. DC.; AY326210, -; Colombia, MAJ 772 (DUKE). P. marginatum Jacq. (1); AY326211, EU581560; Ecuador, MAJ 713 (DUKE); P. marginatum Jacq. (2); EU581288, —; French Guiana, EJT 588 (MU); P. marginatum Jacq. (3); EU581289, —; Brazil, MJK 571 (SPF); P. marginatum Jacq. (4); EU581290, -; Panamá, EL s/n, no voucher; P. marginatum Jacq. (5); EU581291, —; Brazil, MJK 759 (MG). P. marsupiiferum Trel. (1); EF056268, EU581561; Cultivated, EJT 511 (MU); P. marsupiiferum Trel. (2); EF056269, —; Ecuador, EJT 1431 (MU). P. martensianum C. DC. (1); EU581292, EU581562; Honduras, AB 951 (SEMO); P. martensianum C. DC. (2); EU581293, EU581563; Honduras, AB 958 (SEMO); P. martensianum C. DC. (3); EU581294, —; Nicaragua, AB 746 (SEMO). P. massiei C. DC.; EU581295, -; Vietnam, MAJ 478 (DUKE). P. maxonii C. DC.; EF056270, EU581564; Costa Rica, EJT 370 (MU). P. medinillifolium Quisumb.; EU581296, EU581565; Philippines, MAJ 196 (DUKE). P. melanocladum C. DC.; EF056271, EU581566; Costa Rica, EJT 134 (MU). P. melastomoides Schltdl. \& Cham.; EU581297, —; México, MN 26814 (XAL). P. melchior (Sykes) M. A. Jaram. (1); EF635477, -; New Zealand, no voucher; P. melchior (Sykes) M. A. Jaram. (2); AF275191, —; New Zealand, ROG 8495 (AK). P. methysticum G.Forst. (1); EU581298, EU581567; Hawaii, WCM 2957 (HAW); P. methysticum G.Forst. (2); AF275194, -; Cultivated, Accession \# 950585 (NTBG); P. mexiae Trel. \& Yunck.; EU581299, —; Ecuador, EJT 1398 (MU). P. michelianum C. DC.; AF275188, EU581568; México, MAJ 537 (DUKE). P. mollicomum Kunth (1), EU581300, —; Brazil, MCS 271 (RB); P. mollicomum Kunth (2); EU581301, —; Brazil, MAJ 795 (RB). P. mollissimum Blume; EF060075, —; Indonesia, RA 15 (BO). P. mosenii C. DC.; EU581302, -; Brazil, MAJ 876 (RB). P. mourai Yunck., EU581303, —; Brazil, MAJ 914 (RB). P. muelleri C. DC.; EU581304, EU581569; México, MAJ 541 (DUKE). P. mullesua Buch.Ham.; AF203634, -; China, no voucher. P. multiplinervium C. DC. (1), AF275168, EU581570; Colombia, MAJ 139 (DUKE). P. multiplinervium C.

DC．（2），EU581305，EU581571；Costa Rica，CD 10899 （SRP）．P．munchanum C．DC．，AF275164，EU581572，Colombia，MAJ 120 （DUKE）．P．muricatum Blume（1）；EF060076，—；Indonesia，RA 20 （BO）；P．muricatum Blume（2）； EU581306，EU581573；Malaysia，WSW 2 （SRP）．P．mutabile C．DC．（2）； EU581307，EU581574；China，LJM 06183 （PE）．P．myrmecophilum C．DC．； AY572328，EU581575；Philippines，MAJ 205 （DUKE）．P．napopastazanum Trel．\＆Yunck．；EU581308，EU581576；Ecuador，EJT 1589 （MU）．P．neesia－ num C．DC．（1）；EU581309，EU581577；Guatemala，$A B 966$（SEMO）；P． neesianum C．DC．（2）；EU581310，—；Guatemala，$A B 973$（SEMO）；P．nee－ sianum C．DC．（3）；EU581311，—；México，MAJ 1007 （UMO）．P．nicoya－ num C．DC．；EU581312，—；Costa Rica，UC 1634 （HUA）．P．nigrum L．（1）； AF275198，—；Philippines，MAJ 181 （DUKE）；P．nigrum L．（2）；EF060077， —；Indonesia，RA 13 （BO）；P．nigrum L．（3）；AF275197，—；Cultivated， Duke Accession \＃94－006，no voucher；P．nigrum L．（4）；EU581313，—； Cultivated，JFS 5807 （SRP）．P．nitidum Sw．；EU581314，—；México，AR 2312 （XAL）．P．nobile C．DC．；EU581315，—；Venezuela，TC 60527 （MO）． P．novogalicianum Bornstein；EU581316，—；México，MAJ 549 （DUKE）．P． novogranatense C．DC．；EU581317，EU581528；Colombia，MAJ 71 （DUKE）．P．nudibaccatum Y．C．Tseng；EU581318，EU581552；China，LJM 06147 （PE）．P．nudicaule C．DC．；EU581319，EU581578；Costa Rica，CD 10897 （SRP）．P．nudifolium C．DC．；EU581320，EU581579；Costa Rica，EJT 469 （MU）；P．nudifolium C．DC．（cf）；EU581321，—；Panamá，EJT 973 （MU）．P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（1）（cf）；EU581322，—；México，HGD 254 （HEM）；P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（2）（cf）；EU581323，—；Panamá，EJT 1022 （MU）；P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（1）；EF056275，EU581580；Panama，EJT 1022 （MU）；P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（2）；EF056273，EU581581；Costa Rica， EJT 351 （MU）；P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（3）；EF056272，—；Costa Rica，EJT 114 （MU）；P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（4）；EU581324，—；Costa Rica，EJT 345 （MU）；P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（5）；EF056274，—；Panamá，EJT 974，（MU）； P．obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（6）；EU581325，—；Brazil，MAJ 830 （RB）；P． obliquum Ruiz \＆Pav．（7）；EU581326，—；Nicaragua，AB 738 （SEMO）．P． obovatum Ruiz \＆Pav．；AY326213，—；Colombia，MAJ 759 （DUKE）；P． obtusilimbum C．DC．（1）；EU581327，EU581582；Ecuador，EJT 1432 （MU）； P．obtusilimbum C．DC．（2）；EU581328，－；Cultivated，EJT 512 （MU）．P． otophorum C．DC．；EU581329，—；Panamá，ETJ 981 （MU）．P．ottoniaefo－ lium C．DC．；AY326213，EU581583；Colombia，MAJ 759 （DUKE）．P．ova－ tum Vahl．；EU581330，—；Trinidad \＆Tobago，NJ s／n，no voucher．P． oviedoi Urb．；EU581331，－；Haiti，WSJ 6850 （FLAS）．P．oxystachyum C． DC．；AF275142，EU581584；Colombia，MAJ 140 （DUKE）．P．paramaribense C．DC．；EU581332，—；French Guiana，EJT 544 （MU）．P．pedunculatum C． DC．；EU581333，—；Colombia，MAJ 597 （DUKE）．P．peltatum L．（1）； AF275171，EU581586；Colombia，$J B s / n$ ，no voucher；P．peltatum L．（2）； AF275170，EU581587；Colombia，MAJ 45 （DUKE）；P．peltatum L．（3）； EU581334，—；Panamá，EL s／n，no voucher；P．peltatum L．（4）；EU581335， －；México，MAJ 564 （DUKE）；P．peltatum L．（5）；AF275169，一；Colombia， MAJ 142 （DUKE）；P．peltatum L．（6）；EU581336，—；French Guiana，EJT 589 （MU）．P．pendulispicum C．DC．；EU581337，EU581588；Vietnam，MAJ 396 （DUKE）．P．penninerve C．DC．；AF275206，—；Philippines，MAJ 213 （DUKE）；P．perareolatum C．DC．；EF056279，—；Perú，AlG 74657 （MO）．P． perlasense Yunck．；EU581338，EU581589；Panamá，EL s／n，no voucher．P． perpusillum Callejas；AY326215，—；Ecuador，MAJ 699 （DUKE）．P．phy－ tolaccifolium Opiz（1）；AY326216，EU581590；Colombia，MAJ 599 （DUKE）；P．phytolaccifolium Opiz（2）；EU581339，—；Honduras，AB 982 （SEMO）．P．pierrei C．DC．；AF275200，EU581591；Vietnam，MAJ 394 （DUKE）．P．pilibracteum Trel．\＆Yunck．；AY768829，—；Colombia，MAJ 746 （DUKE）．P．piluliferum Kunth；EU581340，—；Ecuador，EJT 1411 （MU）．P．pingbienense Y．C．Tseng；EU581341，EU581592；China，LJM 06151 （PE）．P．piscatorum Trel．\＆Yunck．（1）；EU581342，EU581455；Brazil，MJK 758 （MG）；P．piscatorum Trel．\＆Yunck．（2）；EU581343，—；Brazil，MJK 730 （MG）；P．piscatorum Trel．\＆Yunck．（3）；EU581344，—；Brazil，SSB 667 （HUA）．P．pittieri C．DC．；EU581345，一；Costa Rica，EJT 444 （MU）．P． porphyrophyllum N．E．Br．；EU581346，EU581593；Malaysia，WSW 3 （SRP）． P．praesagium Trel．\＆Yunck．（1）；EU581347，—；Colombia，MAJ 68， （DUKE）；P．praesagium Trel．\＆Yunck．（2）；EU581348，—；Colombia，MAJ 82 （DUKE）．P．premnospicum Tebbs；EU581349，—；Panamá，EJT 1030 （MU）．P．pseudofuligineum C．DC．；EU581350，－；Honduras，AB 710 （SEMO）．P．pseudolanceifolium Trel．；EU581351，—；Costa Rica，K 1297 （MO）．P．pseudolindenii C．DC．（1）；EU581352，EU581594；Honduras，$A B$ 952 （SEMO）；P．pseudolindenii C．DC．（2）；EU581353，－；Honduras，$A B$ 711 （SEMO）；P．pseudolindenii C．DC．（3）；EU581354，－；Honduras，$A B$ 718 （SEMO）；P．pseudolindenii C．DC．（4）；EU581355，－；Guatemala，$A B$ 974 （SEMO）．P．pseudonobile C．DC．（1）；EF056281，EU581595；Ecuador， BB 3521 （MO）；P．pseudonobile C．DC．（2）；EF056280，—；Ecuador，EJT 1417 （MU）．P．pseudopothifolium C．DC．（1）；EU581356，EU581596；Brazil， MAJ 943 （RB）；P．pseudopothifolium C．DC．（2）；EF056282，—；Brazil，MAJ 935 （RB）．P．psilorhachis C．DC．（1）；EU581357，EU581597；Guatemala，$A B$

979 （SEMO）；P．psilorhachis C．DC．（2）；EU581358，EU581598；Guatemala， AB 963 （SEMO）．P．pterocladum C．DC．；EU581359，—；Bolivia，AM 1079， （MO）．P．puberulum Benth．；EU581360，—；Cultivated，TF 6749 （PTBG）．P． pubistipulum C．DC．；EU581361，—；Panamá，EJT 976 （MU）．P．pulchrum C．DC．（1）；AF275177，EU581599；Colombia，MAJ 100 （DUKE）；P．pul－ chrum C．DC．（2）；EU581362，—；Perú，AlG 74657 （MO）．P．quinqueangu－ latum Miq．；AF275208，EU581546；Philippines，MAJ 184 （DUKE）．P．re－ curvum Blume（1）；EF060078，—；Indonesia，RA 07 （BO）；P．recurvum Blume（2）；EF060079，—；Indonesia，RA 18 （BO）．P．reticulatum L．（1）； EU581363，EU581600；Bolivia，AM 1603 （MO）；P．reticulatum L．（2）； EU581364，EU581601；Ecuador，EJT 1395 （MU）；P．reticulatum L．（3）； EU581365，—；Panamá，EJT 1015 （MU）；P．reticulatum L．（4）；EU581366， —；Panamá，EJT 979 （MU）；P．reticulatum L．（5）；EU581367，—；Panamá， EL s／n，no voucher；P．reticulatum L．（6）；AF275184，—；Colombia，MAJ 128 （DUKE）；P．reticulatum L．（7）；AF275185，—；Colombia，MAJ 62 （DUKE）．P．retrofractum Vahl．（1）；AF275196，EU581602；Vietnam，MAJ 395 （DUKE）；P．retrofractum Vahl．（2）；EF060081，—；Indonesia，RA 32 （BO）；P．retrofractum Vahl．（3）；EF060080，—；Indonesia，RA 28 （BO）；P． retrofractum Vahl．（4）；EF060069，—；Indonesia，RA 08 （BO）．P．richardi－ aefolium Kunth（1）；EU581368，—；Brazil，MAJ 925 （RB）；P．richardiaefo－ lium Kunth（2）；EU581369，—；Brazil，MCS 307 （RB）；P．richardiaefolium Kunth（3）；EU581370，—；Brazil，MAJ 852，（RB）．P．riparense C．DC．（1）； EU581371，EU581603；Costa Rica，EJT 339 （MU）；P．riparense C．DC．（2）； EU581372，—；Panamá，EJT 972 （MU）．P．robustipedunculum Yunck．； EU581373，—；Brazil，MAJ 871 （RB）．P．rugosum Lam．；EU581374，－； Haiti，WSJ 6851 （FLAS）．P．rusbyi C．DC．；EU581375，EU581604；Bolivia， AM 1216 （MO）．P．sabaletasanum Trel．\＆Yunck．；AY326217，－；Colom－ bia，MAJ 623 （DUKE）．P．sagittifolium C．DC．（1）；EF056284，EU581605； Costa Rica，EJT 116 （MU）；P．sagittifolium C．DC．（2）；EF056285，—；Costa Rica，EJT 320 （MU）；P．sagittifolium C．DC．（3）；EU581376，EU581606； Cultivated，MAJ s／n，no voucher．P．samanense Urb．，EU581377，－；Do－ minican Republic，PAR 8548 （MO）．P．sampaioi Yunck．；EU581378，—； Brazil，MAJ 916 （RB）．P．sanctifelicis Trel．（1）；EU581379，EU581607； México，AR 2429 （XAL）；P．sanctifelicis Trel．（2）；EU581380，—；Costa Rica，CD 10887 （SRP）．P．sanctum（Miq．）Schltdl．ex C．DC．（1）；EU581381， EU581608；Mexico，AR 2352 （XAL）；P．sanctum（Miq．）Schltdl．ex C．DC． （2）；EU581382，EU581609；Nicaragua，$A B 744$（SEMO）；P．sanctum（Miq．） Schltdl．ex C．DC．（3）；EU581383，EU581610；Mexico，HGD 251 （HEM）；P． sanctum（Miq．）Schltdl．ex C．DC．（4）；EU581384，EU581611；Mexico，MA 2820 （HEM）．P．sarmentosum Roxb．（1）；EF060082，—；Indonesia，RA 17 （BO）；P．sarmentosum Roxb．（2）；EU581385，—；Cultivated，JFS 5806 （SRP）． P．sasaimanum Yunck．；EF056286，EU581612；Panamá，EJT 1055 （MU）．P． schiedeanum Steud．；EU581386，—；Panamá，EL s／n，no voucher．P．sch－ uppii A．H．Gentry；AY326218，一；Ecuador，MAJ 687 （DUKE）．P． schwackei C．DC．（1）；EU581387，—；Brazil，MJK 732 （MG）；P．schwackei C．DC．（2）；EU581388，—；Brazil，MJK 767 （MG）．P．scutifolium Yunck．； EU581389，—；Brazil，MJK 281 （SPF）．P．scutilimbum C．DC．；EU581390， —；Ecuador，EJT 1438 （MU）．P．semi－immersum C．DC．；EU581391， EU581613；China，LJM 06161 （PE）．P．solmsianum C．DC．（1）；EU581392， EU581614；Brazil，MAJ 881 （RB）；P．solmsianum C．DC．（2）；EU581393，—； Brazil，MJK 176；（SPF）．P．sorsogonum C．DC．；AY572320，EU581615；Phil－ ippines，MAJ 185 （DUKE）．P．sp．；EU581394，EU581531；Ghana，ABG 361 （Aburii Botanical Garden Herbarium）．P．sp．nov．1；AY326227，EU581627； Colombia，RC 11854 （HUA）．P．sp．nov．2，AF275182，EU581625；Brazil，CC 2 （DUKE）．P．sp．nov． 3 （1）；EU581395，—；Ecuador，MAJ 674 （DUKE）；P． sp．nov． 3 （2）；EU581396，－；Ecuador，MAJ 646 （DUKE）．P．sp．nov．4； EF056244，－；Colombia，RC 6431 （MO）．P．sp．nov．5；AY326230，－； Ecuador，MAJ 689 （DUKE）；P．sp．nov．6；AY326206，—；Colombia，MAJ 694 （DUKE）．P．sp．nov．7；EU594345，－；Brazil，GV 430 （RB）．P．sphaero－ carpum（Griseb．）C．Wright；EU581397，—；Cuba，JRA 18913 （FLAS）．P． spoliatum Trel．\＆Yunck．；AF275179，EU581616；Colombia，MAJ 60 （DUKE）．P．sprengelianum C．DC．；EU581398，—；Brazil，MAJ 837 （RB）．P． squamulosum C．DC．（1）；EF056287，EU581617；Ecuador，EJT 1375 （MU）； P．squamulosum C．DC．（2）；EF056288，—；Ecuador，WHC 3747 （US）．P． sternii Yunck．；EU581399，—；Ecuador，EJT 1393 （MU）．P．stileferum Yunck．；EU581400，—；Ecuador，EJT 1631 （MU）．P．stipulaceum Opiz； EU581401，—；México，MAJ 551 （DUKE）．P．subflavum C．DC．；EU581402， －；Colombia，BRR 1736 （HUA）．P．subglabribracteatum C．DC．（1）； EU581403，—；Colombia，AC 7784 （MO）；P．subglabribracteatum C．DC． （2）；EF056289，—；Ecuador，BMB 2608 （US）；P．subglabribracteatum C． DC．（3）；AY326220，—；Colombia，MAJ 747 （DUKE）．P．submultinerve C． DC．var．nandanicum Y．C．Tseng；EU581404，EU581551；China，LJM 061410 （PE）．P．subpedale Trel．\＆Yunck．；AF275161，—；Colombia，MAJ 57 （DUKE）．P．subpenninerve Ridl．；EU581405，EU581618；Malaysia，WSW 1 （SRP）．P．subscutatum C．DC．；EU581406，EU581619；Ecuador，EJT 1604 （MU）．P．subsessilifolium C．DC．；EU581407，—；Panamá，EJT 1003 （MU）．
P. tardans Trel.; EF056290, —; Panamá, EJT 1056 (MU). (Kunth) Steud.; EU581408, —; French Guiana, EJT 547 (MU). P. terryae Standley; AY326221, —; Colombia, MAJ 605 (DUKE). P. thomasii Tebbs; EU581409, -; Panamá, EJT 1038 (MU). P. thomsonii Hook.f.; EU581410, EU581620; China, LJM 061511 (PE). P. tomas-albertoi Trel. \& Yunck. AY326222, -; Colombia, RC s/n, no voucher. P. tonduzii C. DC.; EU581411, -; Costa Rica, GD 37274 (HUA). P. toppingii C. DC.; AY572322, -; Philippines, MAJ 186 (DUKE). P. trianae C. DC. (1); EU581412, —; Ecuador, EJT 1392 (MU); P. trianae C. DC. (2); EU581413, —; Ecuador, MAJ 662 (DUKE). P. trichoneuron (Miq.) C. DC.; EU581414, —; French Guiana, EJT 549 (MU). P. tricuspe C. DC.; AY326224, -; Colombia, AG 41 (CUCV). P. trigonum C. DC. (1); EU581415, EU581621; Costa Rica, CD 10881 (SRP). P. trigonum C. DC. (2); EU581416, —; Colombia, MAJ 52 (DUKE); P. trigonum C. DC. (3); EU581417, —; Panamá, EJT 1007 (MU); P. trigonum C. DC. (4); EU581418, —; Panamá, EJT 1026 (MU); P. trigonum C. DC. (5); EU581419, —; Costa Rica, CD 10874 (SRP); P. trigonum C. DC. (6); EU581420, -; Panamá, EJT 1019 (MU); P. trigonum C. DC. (7); EU581421, —; Panamá, EJT 980 (MU). P. truncatibaccum C. DC.; EU581422, —; New Guinea, WT 14507 (GH). P. truncatum Vell. (1); EF056291, EU581622; Brazil, MAJ 937 (RB); P. truncatum Vell. (2); EU581423, —; Brazil, MAJ 971 (RB). P. tuberculatum Jacq. (1); EF056292, EU581623; Panamá, EJT 1061 (MU); P. tuberculatum Jacq. (2); EU581424, EU581624; Brazil, MJK 741 (SPF); P. tuberculatum Jacq. (3); EF056293, —; Belize, MAV 5989 (MU); P. tuberculatum Jacq. (4); EU581425, —; Brazil, MJK 573 (SPF); P. tuberculatum Jacq. (5); AY326225, —; Ecuador, MAJ 710 (DUKE); P. tuberculatum Jacq. (6); EU581426, -; Honduras, AB 699 (SEMO). P. tuerckheimii C. DC.; EU581427, -; Honduras, AB 941 (SEMO). P. umbellatum L. (1); AF275172, EU581626; Brazil, AFO 1251 (DUKE); P. umbellatum L. (2); EU581428, -; Honduras, AB 709 (SEMO); P. umbellatum L. (3); EU581429, —; Panamá, EJT 998 (MU); P. umbellatum L. (4); AF275174, —; Cultivated, FBG Accession \# 78-211B, no voucher; P. umbellatum L. (5); EU581430, —; New Guinea, GW 2435 (MIN); P. umbellatum L. (6); EU581431, —; Dominican Republic, LAH 446 (SRP); P. umbellatum L. (7); EU581432, —; México, MA 2904 (HEM); P. umbellatum L. (8); AF275173, —; Philippines, MAJ 224 (DUKE); P. umbellatum L. (9); EU581433, —; Colombia, MAJ 35 (DUKE). P. umbricola C. DC.; EU581434, -; Honduras, AB 721 (SEMO); P. umbricola C. DC. (cf); EU581435, —; Panamá, EJT 1014 (MU). P. umbriculum (Cuatrec.) M.A. Jaram. \& Callejas; AY326226, -; Colombia, MAJ 602 (DUKE). P. urdanetanum C. DC.; AF275207, -; Philippines, MAJ 232 (DUKE). P. urophyllum C. DC.; EU581436, —; Costa
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